



REPUBLIC OF KENYA

**THE NATIONAL TREASURY AND PLANNING
STATE DEPARTMENT FOR PLANNING
MONITORING AND EVALUATION DIRECTORATE**

KENYA NATIONAL EVALUATION PLAN (KNEP) 2022/23- 2026/27

July, 2022

FOREWORD

I am pleased to share with you the Kenya National Evaluation Plan (KNEP) 2022/23-2026/27.



The KNEP provides a comprehensive prioritized list of the policies, programmes and projects to be evaluated in the public sector. Evaluations are a key component of National Integrated Monitoring and Evaluation system (NIMES), a system used by the Government of Kenya to track, assess, and report performance in implementation of Government's development agenda. Evaluations seek to assess relevance, coherence, efficiency, effectiveness, impact, and sustainability of Government interventions.

The KNEP was developed to address prevalent unplanned and uncoordinated conduct of evaluations in the public sector. It aims to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the evaluation processes in the public sector and promote use of evaluation findings. Ultimately, it is expected to improve implementation of government interventions through informed policy planning, evidence-based decision making and strengthened accountability in the public sector. Further, the plan seeks to harness all stakeholders both in the public sector and partner organizations to jointly push forward the evaluations agenda.

Effects of COVID-19 can also not be repudiated, with some delays in implementation of projects, stalling of projects and good performance in some sectors being affiliated to the epidemic. I am glad to note that the use of this Plan will guide Public Sector in conducting timely evaluations in an impartial, open and participatory manner ensuring that evaluations are based on valid and reliable empirical evidence. These evaluations will provide evidence on impact of internal and external factors such as COVID-19 and these results will be made readily available to the citizenry.

I therefore call upon all our stakeholders including our partners and Government Ministries, Departments and Agencies to join us in implementation of this Plan. I urge you to include the outlined evaluations as one of the activities in your workplans. Besides the outlined evaluations, I encourage you to mainstream evaluations as a critical component of each project for learning and improved delivery of results.

Finally, I would like to extend my appreciation to the Principal Secretary, State Department for Planning Mr. Saitoti Torome, CBS for not only providing technical and administrative support but also his decisive leadership concerning the development of this Plan.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ukur Yatani', written over a circular stamp or seal.

Hon. (Amb) Ukur Yatani, EGH

Cabinet Secretary

The National Treasury and Planning

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In executing its mandate of providing leadership in the formulation and tracking of national development policies, programmes and strategies as well as monitoring of economic trends, the State Department for Planning through the Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate developed this Kenya National Evaluation Plan. This plan is a product of extensive consultation and stakeholder participation between State Department for Planning, government ministries, development partners, and other stakeholders.



Special thanks to Ministries, Departments and Agencies who contributed in identification and selection of projects for inclusion in the evaluation plan as well as their participation in the validation and finalization process of this plan. I particularly wish to thank the Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate staff with the leadership of Director Aloyce Ratemo for their dedication in developing this plan. I also appreciate UNFPA for providing technical and financial support in the development of this document.

Lastly, I wish to request for continuous support from all our stakeholders towards successful implementation of this Plan.

The Kenya National Evaluation Plan 2022/23 -2026/27 can be found on the websites of the National Treasury and Planning: www.treasury.go.ke; State Department for Planning: <https://planning.go.ke/>; and Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate: <https://monitoring.planning.go.ke/>.

Saitoti Torome, CBS
Principal Secretary
State Department for Planning
The National Treasury and Planning

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
GLOSSARY	iv
ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS	v
CHAPTER ONE	1
1.0	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Rationale	1
1.3 Objectives of the Kenya National Evaluation Plan (KNEP)	2
1.4 Organization of KNEP	2
CHAPTER TWO	3
2.0 POLICY, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND GUIDELINES	3
2.1 The Constitution of Kenya.	3
2.2 Public Finance Management Act, 2012	3
2.4 Kenya National M&E Policy	3
2.5 Kenya Evaluation Guidelines, 2020	3
CHAPTER THREE	5
3.0	5
3.1 Evaluation criteria	5
3.2 Evaluations matrix for 2022/23-2026/27	5
CHAPTER FOUR	14
4.0 IMPLEMENTATION, MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF THE KNEP	14
4.1	14
4.2 Monitoring the Evaluation plan	15
4.3 KNEP Review	15
4.4 Financing arrangements	15

GLOSSARY

Data: Raw facts (quantitative or qualitative) that are collected and form the basis of what is known.

Data Sources: Processes that generate indicator values. They include research, program level monitoring data, surveillance, surveys, financial information of donors, clients' satisfaction surveys.

Evaluation: A systematic and objective assessment of a project, programme, or policy on its design, implementation and results.

Evaluation manager: An officer of the commissioning institution assigned the primary responsibility to oversee and coordinate an evaluation.

Evaluation Technical Reference Group (ETRG): A team formed from internal and external evaluation stakeholders to provide feedback to the evaluation process from a technical and methodological perspective.

Impact: Ultimate long-term changes arising from the implementation of interventions, i.e. the difference (positive and/or negative), that the implementation of an intervention has brought.

Indicator: A variable (sign or element) that measures one aspect of an intervention that is directly related to its objectives.

Intervention: All the different types of development and humanitarian efforts that may be evaluated using these guidelines, such as a project, programme, policy, strategy, thematic area, technical or humanitarian assistance, policy advice, an institution, financing mechanism, instrument, or other activity.

Outcomes: the expected changes or immediate effects on the intended beneficiaries occurring as a result of implementing an intervention.

Result: A describable or measurable change in state that is derived from a cause-and-effect relationship.

Review: An assessment of the performance of an intervention, periodically or on ad hoc basis. Reviews are usually less comprehensive and/or in-depth than evaluations. They tend to emphasize operational aspects and they are undertaken at midterm or end-term of an intervention.

ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS

AfDB	African Development Bank
CIMES	County Integrated Monitoring and Evaluation System
COVID-19	Corona Virus Disease 2019
DRIVE	De-Risking Inclusion Value Enhancement
EASTRIP	East Africa Skills for Transformation and Regional Integration Project
GoK	Government of Kenya
HSNP	Hunger Safety Net Programme
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
JKIA	Jomo Kenyatta International Airport
KAA	Kenya Airports Authority
KEG	Kenya Evaluation Guidelines
KLIP	Kenya Livestock Insurance Program
KNEP	Kenya National Evaluation Plan
MDAs	Ministries Departments and Agencies
MLVMCTP	Multinational Lake Victoria Maritime Communication and Transport Project
MTP	Medium Term Plan
NDMA	National Drought Management Authority
NIMES	National Integrated Monitoring and Evaluation System
PPP	Public Private Partnership
PS	Principal Secretary
RPLRP	Regional Pastoral Livelihoods Resilience Project
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
TVET	Technical Vocational Education and Training

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The National Treasury and Planning through the State Department for Planning is mandated to provide leadership in formulating and tracking national development policies, programmes and strategies. National Integrated Monitoring and Evaluation System (NIMES) at the National level and County Integrated Monitoring and Evaluation System (CIMES) at the County level were established to track implementation progress of the development Agenda at the respective levels. NIMES provides feedback for effective implementation of policies and programmes set out in the prevailing Government development agenda such as the Kenya Vision 2030 MTPs and ‘Big Four’ Agenda.

Through NIMES, the State Department for Planning, has been monitoring and preparing Annual Progress Reports on implementation of the Kenya Vision 2030 Medium Term Plans, ‘Big Four’ Agenda and other national and international development obligations. Further, the Directorate has been undertaking evaluations of policies, programmes and projects which include Public Expenditure Reviews and End Term Reviews. However, the practice is not institutionalized at both national and devolved levels due to inadequate capacity and policy framework.

Notably, there is need for evaluation of a wide range of government interventions to document lessons learnt and generate evidence for decision making. In addition, the emergence of issues such as the COVID-19 pandemic, locust invasion and cybercrimes has resulted to delays in implementation of projects. This necessitates assessment of the status of various policies, programmes and project to re-orient them back to the envisioned growth path. Further, evaluation of key MTP III (2018-2022) interventions is critical in informing implementation of the 4th MTP (2023-2027). Consequently, prioritization of the evaluations to be conducted is essential.

The Kenya National Evaluation Plan 2022/23- 2026/27 (KNEP) presents a five-year plan that identifies priority interventions implemented under MTP III (2018-2022) as well as projects planned to be implemented in the next planning period for evaluation. The KNEP was developed in consultation with the Government Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs). KNEP is structured as follows: Introduction; Policy, Legal Framework and Guidelines; Priority evaluations for 2022/2023-2026/2027 period; and Implementation, Monitoring and Evaluation of the KNEP.

1.2 Rationale

The primary purpose of evaluations is to improve performance through learning by providing feedback on implementation of government interventions. Evaluations are critical in assessing the interventions contributions to the prevailing development Agenda. In essence, Evaluations generate evidence for use in decision making such as planning and budget making, learning as well as enhancing transparency and accountability in utilization of public resources.

Despite the significance of evaluations, the culture and practice in the public sector has been weak. The few evaluations conducted have been unplanned and largely funded by partners. As a result, most of the evaluation findings have rarely informed planning and policy decision making greatly affecting implementation of government interventions.

Currently, the country has an enabling policy and legal framework for evaluations in the public sector. The Constitution of Kenya, the Public Finance Management Act 2012, Intergovernmental Relations Act 2012, Public Investment Management Guidelines 2019 and the Public Finance Management Regulations 2015 have provisions that seek to promote the culture and practice of evaluation in the public sector. Further, the State Department for Planning has developed the Kenya Evaluation Guidelines (KEG) 2020 whose overall objective is to provide a standard approach for undertaking public sector evaluations.

Consequently, it is important to identify and prioritize the evaluations to be conducted in the public sector in the Medium term as provided by the KEG 2020.

1.3 Objectives of the Kenya National Evaluation Plan (KNEP)

The overall objective of the KNEP is to improve efficiency and effectiveness of the evaluation processes in the public sector and promote the use of evaluation findings in development planning, strengthening accountability and evidence-based decision making. Specifically, the document aims to:

- i. Enhance coordination of evaluations in the Public Sector;
- ii. Promote use of Evaluation findings;
- iii. Mobilize resources for evaluations; and
- iv. Promote partnership/collaboration in public sector evaluations.

1.4 Organization of KNEP

The document is organized into four chapters as follows:

Chapter One: This is an introduction of the KNEP and provides the background; Rationale; Objectives; and the organization of the KNEP.

Chapter Two: This chapter provides information on policy and legal documents that provided a basis for development of this KNEP. The documents include Constitution of Kenya, Public Finance Act, 2012; Kenya National M&E Policy, and Kenya Evaluation Guidelines, 2020.

Chapter Three: This chapter presents priority evaluations to be undertaken in the 2022/2023-2026/2027 Financial Year.

Chapter Four: The chapter presents implementation framework of the policy including stakeholders to be engaged their role and responsibilities; monitoring and evaluation of the KNEP; Review of the KNEP; and financing arrangements.

CHAPTER TWO

2.0 POLICY, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND GUIDELINES

There exist policy, legal instruments, guidelines, and regulations that define an enabling environment for implementation of the Evaluation function in the public as discussed in the following subsections.

2.1 The Constitution of Kenya.

The Constitution of Kenya provides the basis for monitoring and evaluation of public policies, programmes and projects. It emphasizes on the principles of transparency, integrity, access to information, and accountability, which all state officers are required to adhere to. These principles are provided under Articles 10, 35, 56, 132, 174, 185, 201, 203, 225, 226, 227 and 232. These principles implicitly imply the need for a structured way for which such public policies, programmes and projects are monitored and evaluated.

2.2 Public Finance Management Act, 2012

Section 83 of the PFM Act 2012 stipulates that an accounting officer for a national government entity shall prepare a report for each quarter of the financial year containing information on the non-financial performance of the entity. Evaluations will enhance the information contained in the reports by assessing the outcomes of implemented projects.

2.3 Public Finance Management Regulations, 2015

According to PFM Act Regulations Kenya gazette supplement No 32 Part VIII 129(1), the Accounting officer shall monitor and evaluate the financial and non-financial performance of programmes funded by a conditional and unconditional transfer from the National government. In addition, sections 136 (1) and (2) of the regulations provide that the Cabinet Secretary responsible for matters relating to planning shall prescribe a framework for monitoring and reporting on non-financial performance for use by accounting officers in evaluation of programmes and projects.

2.4 Kenya National M&E Policy

The Kenya M&E Policy advocates for systematic conduct of Evaluations in the Public Sector under the NIMES. The Policy tasks the Directorate responsible for M&E to coordinate preparation of the National Evaluation Plan (NEP) and provide oversight over all evaluations in the public sector. It also calls for participatory development and implementation of the National Evaluation Plan with engagement of various stakeholders to ease its implementation.

2.5 Kenya Evaluation Guidelines, 2020

The Kenya Evaluation Guidelines (KEG) 2020 provides structures for conducting of results and impact evaluation. It further provides standard guidelines for stakeholders involved in managing evaluation in public sector. This includes those responsible for policy, programme and project management.

The Guidelines provides development of an Evaluation Plan which is vital for any successful evaluation in the public sector. Both national and county Governments are expected to develop an evaluation plan to support evaluations for increased efficiency, effectiveness, impact, and sustainability of government interventions.

2.6 Public Investment Management (PIM) Guidelines, 2019

The PIM Guidelines outline procedures to strengthen project monitoring and evaluation. The Guidelines which aim at supporting expenditure control and spending, provides standard processes for project monitoring and evaluation.

Further, the Guidelines provides a standard approach in project cycle management to facilitate the national and county governments and their entities in the project identification and planning, pre-feasibility and feasibility, selection and budgeting, implementation, monitoring, evaluation and reporting of viable projects that meet development needs.

The Guidelines further mandates the Accounting Officers to carry out end-term or summative project evaluation upon completion of the project and within five years after project completion. They are also mandated to undertake a project ex-post evaluation and submit reports of the project including uploading and updating project monitoring and evaluation data in the system.

CHAPTER THREE

3.0 PRIORITY EVALUATIONS FOR 2022/23 – 2026/27 PERIOD

3.1 Evaluation criteria

In the development of this plan, priority was given to government intervention that are:

- i. Aligned to the key priorities of government as contained in the Kenya Vision 2030, the ‘Big Four’ Agenda and other international obligation;
- ii. Expected to have broader impact to the Kenya citizens once completed;
- iii. Either recording poor or best performance in their implementation;
- iv. Of public interest or receiving dominant attention in the media;
- v. Requiring immediate solutions to be informed by an assessment; and
- vi. Having an allocated evaluation budget by the MDAs or any other stakeholders.

3.2 Evaluations matrix for 2022/23-2026/27

The table 3.1.1 indicates government intervention to be evaluated within the period 2022/23 – 2026/27.

Table 3.1 1: Priority evaluations for the KNEP 2022/23- 2026/27 Financial Year

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectives)	Outcome (s)	Key Performance Indicators	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commissioning Institution/ Partners	Expected Evaluation start date/end date	Evaluation Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
SOCIAL PILLAR												
SECTOR: EDUCATION												
1	East Africa Skills for Transformation and Regional Integration Project (EASTRIP)	Mid Term Evaluation	SDG 4 on Quality Education	To strengthen skills in high priority development areas.	Strengthened skills in priority sectors such as Energy, transport and logistics at both the regional and national levels.	No of persons with skills in priority sectors	Inform further implementation and future planning	Ministry of Education/World Bank	January 2023-June 2024	3 Million	GoK/World Bank	PS State department of Technical and Vocational Training.
		End term Evaluation of the project	SDG 4 on Quality Education	To strengthen skills in high priority development areas.	Strengthened skills in priority sectors such as Energy, transport and logistics at both the regional and	No of persons with skills in priority sectors	Inform future planning and budgeting processes.	Ministry of Education/World Bank	January 2026 June 27	4 Million	GoK/World Bank	PS State department of Technical and Vocational Training.

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectives)	Outcome (s)	Key Performance Indicators	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commissioning Institution/ Partners	Expected Evaluation start date/end date	Evaluation Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
					national levels.							
2	GoK/AfDB support to TVET for relevant skills development project(Phase 2)	End Term Evaluation of the GOK/AfDB TVET project	SDG 4 on Quality Education	To Increase skills and employable human resource for competitiveness and productivity	Increase skills and employable human resource for competitiveness and productivity	Number of functional TTIs and Industry Partnerships for TVET training. Percentage of Industry/ employers satisfied with TVET graduates (disaggregated by sex).	Inform future interventions and Learning	Ministry of Education/AfDB	June 2024-February 2025	5 Million	GoK/AfDB	PS State department of Technical and Vocational Training.
3	Shauri moyo Affordable Housing project	Mid-term evaluation	SDG Goal 11 on “Sustainable Cities and communities”	To facilitate access to decent and affordable housing.	Increased access to decent and affordable Housing	No. of housing units constructed	Inform Implementation and budgeting process.	Ministry of Transport, Infrastructure , Housing, Urban Development	March 2024-January 25	2 Million	GoK	State department for Housing and urban development

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectiv es)	Outcome (s)	Key Perform ance Indicator s	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commission ing Institution/ Partners	Expecte d Evaluat ion start date/en d date	Evaluat ion Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
						Uptake of housing units		and Public Works PPP				
		End term Review	SDG Goal 11 on “Suitable cities and communiti es”	To facilitate access to decent and affordabl e housing.	Increased access to decent and affordable Housing	No. of housing units construct ed	Inform up scaling of the project	Ministry of Transport, Infrastructure , Housing, Urban Development and Public Works PPP	July 2026- June 27	2 Million	GoK	State department for Housing and urban development
4.	Park road affordable housing project	Impact assessment of the project	SDG Goal 11 on “Suitable cities and communiti es”	To facilitate access to decent and affordabl e housing.	Increased access to decent and affordable Housing	No. of housing units construct ed Uptake house Complian ce with BQ	document lessons learnt, learning and Inform implementatio n of similar projects	Ministry of Transport, Infrastructure , Housing, Urban Development and Public Works - UNHABITA -PPP	July 2022- June 2023	4 Million	GoK	State department for Housing and urban development
ECONOMIC PILLAR												
SECTOR: Business Process Outsourcing (BPO)												

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectives)	Outcome (s)	Key Performance Indicators	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commissioning Institution/ Partners	Expected Evaluation start date/end date	Evaluation Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
5	Konza Technopolis Project (Konza Horizontal infrastructure phase 1)	Impact assessment of the Konza Technopolis project		To generate 17,000 direct jobs at the end of Phase 1	Jobs created	No of Jobs created	To Inform implementation of subsequent phases	State Department for ICT	August 2023 – December 2023	2 million	GOK	PS State Department for ICT
SECTOR : Agriculture and Livestock												
6	Regional Pastoral Livelihood Resilience Project (RPLRP)	Impact assessment of RPLRP	SDG 2 Reduced hunger	To contribute to ending drought emergencies in the horn of Africa	Enhanced resilience of pastoral and agro-pastoral communities	% of pastoral communities resilient to drought Death rate of livestock kept by agro-pastoral and pastoral households targeted by the project (cattle, goats)	Inform policy decisions on improving livelihoods of pastoral communities and Learning	Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives	July 2023 – May 2024	20 Million	GoK IDA World Bank	PS State Department for Livestock

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectiv es)	Outcome (s)	Key Perform ance Indicator s	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commission ing Institution/ Partners	Expecte d Evaluat ion start date/en d date	Evaluat ion Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
7	Kenya Livestock Insurance Program(KLIP)	End Term Evaluation of the Kenya Livestock Insurance Program(KL IP)	SDG 2 Reduced hunger	To reduce the risks of livestock mortality and to build resilience of vulnerabl e pastoralis ts for enhanced and sustainabl e food security	Reduced risk of livestock mortality emanatin g from drought	Livestock mortality rate TLU Covered by the program me	Inform design and rollout of the De- Risking Inclusion and Value enhancement for pastoral Economies (DRIVE)	Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives	August 2023- April 2024	8 Million	GoK	PS State Department for Livestock
8	De-Risking Inclusion and Value enhancement for Pastoral Economies (DRIVE)	Formative Evaluation of De- Risking Inclusion and Value enhancement for pastoral Economies	SDG 2 Reduced hunger	To Increase drought resilience of productiv e pastoral system segregate d per county	Increased drought resilience of productiv e pastoral system segregate d per county	Number of pastoralis ts who have benefited from the project Change in value of livestock in	Inform implem entatio n of the project	Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives	2024/25	8 Million	World Bank/GoK	State Department for Livestock

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectiv es)	Outcome (s)	Key Perform ance Indicator s	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commission ing Institution/ Partners	Expecte d Evaluat ion start date/en d date	Evaluat ion Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
						pastoral communi ties						
	Oil Gas and other Minerals											
9	Gemstone Value Addition Centre- Taita Taveta Refinery	End term evaluation of phase I of Gemstone Value Addition Centre-Taita Taveta	SDG 9 Industry, Innovation and infrastructure	To increase earnings from gemstone s	Increased earnings from gemstone s	Value of earning from Gemston es	Inform the ongoing phase.	State Department for Mining	Septemb er 2023- January 2024	2 Million	GOK	PS State Department for Mining
	FOUNDATION OF NATIONAL TRANSFORMATION											
	SECTOR: Ending Drought Emergencies (EDE)											
10	Kenya Social and Economic Inclusion Project- Component 3 Hunger Safety Net Programme (HSNP) III	Impact evaluation of the HSNP III	SDG No. 2 on zero Hunger	To Reduce Hunger	Reduced hunger	Number of beneficiar ies of HSNP III Number of people dying from hunger	Inform future planning and budgeting	NDMA/Worl d Bank	March 2024 – August 2024	8 Million	GOK	PS State Department for ASALs
	SECTOR INFRASTRUCTURE											
11	Expansion of Jomo Kenyatta International	Outcome Evaluation of the JKIA	SDG 9 Industry, Innovation , and	To increase Passenger Traffic	Increased passenger handling capacity	No of passenger s handled.	To inform policy and further	State Department for	January 2025- June 2025	10 Million	GoK	PS Transport/MD KAA

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectives)	Outcome (s)	Key Performance Indicators	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commissioning Institution/ Partners	Expected Evaluation start date/ended date	Evaluation Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
	Airport(JKIA) Infrastructure	expansion project	infrastructure.				development plans	Transport/ KAA				
12	Construction of the Mombasa-Nairobi SGR project phase 1	Impact Evaluation of the Construction of the Mombasa-Nairobi SGR project phase 1	SDG 9 Industry, Innovation, and infrastructure.	To increase cargo and passenger handling capacity	Increased cargo and passenger handling capacity	No of passengers handled and Volume of cargo handled	To inform policy direction and future Railway development.	State Department for Transport and Kenya Railway Corporation	January 2024-June 2024	50Million	GoK	Ps Transport and Managing Director Kenya Railway Corporation
13	Last Mile connectivity (Phase I, II & III)	Outcome evaluation for the project	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	To increase connectivity of the no of household connected to electricity	Increased connectivity of the number of households connected to electricity	No of household connected to electricity	Phase II& III To inform further expansion of the Last Mile connectivity Project	State Department for Energy/ World Bank	July 2024-December 2024	150 Million	GoK/World Bank	PS Energy
		Impact Evaluation	SDG 7 Affordable and clean energy	To increase connectivity of the no of household connected	Increased connectivity of the number of households connected	No of household connected to electricity	Phase I, II and III To inform further expansion of the Last Mile connectivity Project	State Department for Energy/AfD B	2027 January-2027July	150 Million	GoK/AfDB	PS Energy

S/N o.	POLICY/ PROGRAMME / PROJECT	Evaluation Title (specifying the type)	Link to SDGs	Key Result Areas (Objectiv es)	Outcome (s)	Key Perform ance Indicator s	Use of the Evaluation Findings	Commission ing Institution/ Partners	Expecte d Evaluat ion start date/en d date	Evaluat ion Budget (Kshs.)	Source of Funding	Persons Responsible
				d to electricity	to electricity							
14	Nairobi Express Highway	Impact evaluation of the Nairobi Express Highway	SDG 9 Industrial, Innovation and Infrastruct ure	To enhance capacity and Deconges tion of the city	Increased connectiv ity between JKIA to Westland s	Average commute Time	To inform policy Development and future financing mechanisms	State Department for Infrastructure	July 2024- Decemb er 2024	20 Million	GoK	PS Infrastructure

CHAPTER FOUR

4.0 IMPLEMENTATION, MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF THE KNEP

The Kenya National Evaluation Plan will be implemented as per the requirements of the Kenya Evaluation Guidelines. Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate will coordinate joint monitoring of the implementation of the KNEP, with the relevant Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs) and other stakeholders.

4.1 Roles and Responsibilities of key Stakeholders

Table 4.1.1 provides an overview of the roles and responsibilities of major stakeholders that will be engaged at different stages of the evaluation processes. Further a comprehensive list of the same is provided in KEG, 2020.

Table 4.1.1: Roles and Responsibilities of key Stakeholders

	Stakeholder	Roles/Responsibilities
1.	Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate	The Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate will be engaged in tracking implementation of KNEP, mobilization of resources for implementation of KNEP, providing technical support to MDAs to conduct evaluations and reviewing of KNEP.
2.	Principal secretary responsible for monitoring and evaluation	The PS will be expected to approve the KNEP; Mobilize resources for the KNEP; approves KNEP implementation reports; and submit evaluation reports to the National Steering Committee of NIMES and any other interested parties.
3.	Ministries, Departments and Agencies	MDAs will be engaged in identification of priority projects, implementation, monitoring, evaluation, and review of the KNEP. Specific roles and responsibilities are defined in the Kenya Evaluation Guidelines 2020.
4.	Accounting officer of the commissioning institution	Accounting officers will approve Individual Evaluations within their jurisdiction, support mobilization of funds, commission evaluation and signs all contracts relating to the evaluation; appoints the evaluation manager; appoints Evaluation Technical Reference Group; appoints internal evaluation teams in cases of internal evaluations; and Receives, reviews and approves evaluation reports.
5.	Evaluation Manager	Evaluation manager is expected to lead the development of the Terms of Reference of the ToR of the evaluation; advice on procurement process; lead development of evaluation tools; data collection; ensure development of quality evaluation reports.
6.	External evaluators	The external evaluators are expected to add value to the evaluation process as guided by the contractual arrangements and the set evaluation norms and standards
7.	Development Partners	The Partners will participate in preparation of the evaluation plan for programmes and projects that are jointly funded, take part in the reviewing of key evaluation deliverables (terms of reference, the inception report, successive versions of the draft evaluation report) and provide financial and technical support in implementation of the plan.
8.	Evaluation Technical Reference Group (ETRG)	The ETRG will define the profile, competencies and roles and responsibilities of evaluators and assesses the candidates submitted for the evaluation; review the Terms of Reference and inception report; supervises the evaluation team; review draft evaluation report and ensure that the final draft meets quality standards; and Oversees the evaluation process on behalf of commissioning institution and ensures that ethical clearance and approval has been obtained for each evaluation.
9.	Parliament (National assembly and senate)	Parliament will be expected to commission evaluations of public interest interventions and receives reports; and ensure allocation of budget for evaluations.
10.	Beneficiaries	Beneficiaries will be expected to provide information on implementation and impact of programme/project being evaluated by means of public participation forums;

		Validate evaluation reports; and Receive feedback on evaluation exercise through briefs and reports.
--	--	--

4.2 Monitoring the Evaluation plan

MED in collaboration with MDAs will prepare Annual progress reports detailing the number of completed and ongoing evaluations, changes made to the evaluation plan and reasons for the changes. It will also track implementation of management responses to recommendations/evidence for decision-making emanating from the completed evaluations. During the implementation of the evaluation plan, a formal mid-term review will be conducted and any recommendations from the evaluation implemented.

4.3 KNEP Review

Review of KNEP shall be informed by findings of the Monitoring and Evaluation exercise. Adjustments to the evaluation plan may include: Extending the completion date for evaluations; Changing the scope and purpose of evaluations; Addition of new evaluations and deletion or removal of an intervention(s) scheduled for evaluation.

Any adjustments to this KNEP will be clearly supported with a detailed rationale and should be validated and approved by the Accounting Officer of the Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs) concerned. Any changes to the evaluation by MDAs should be communicated to MED to facilitate updating of the KNEP.

4.4 Financing arrangements

The plan will be financed through Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF) where MDAs will be expected to use the plan to bid for resources to conduct evaluations. The KNEP will also be used as a resource mobilization tool among partners interested in supporting evaluations in the Country.